

---

## TUTORIAL 8

---

### 1 Minimum of a List

You have a set of  $N$  numbers ( $N$  can be written on  $n$  bits)  $x_0, \dots, x_{N-1}$  that can be encoded on  $b$  bits and an access to a gate  $U_x|i\rangle|y\rangle \rightarrow |i\rangle|y \oplus x_i\rangle$ . We denote  $[N] = \{0, \dots, N-1\}$ .

In the following, we are going to use the "unknown target" version of Grover algorithm: UNK-GROVER. This is a version of Grover that finds a marked element in a list of  $N$  elements, and makes  $O(\sqrt{N/r})$  queries to the elements of the list where  $r$  is the (unknown) number of marked elements. UNK-GROVER succeeds with probability  $\geq 2/3$  (that we can amplify).

1. Let  $i \in [N]$ . Explain how to adapt UNK-GROVER to find  $j \in [N]$  such that  $x_i < x_j$  if it exists. How many queries to  $U_x$  does your algorithm makes?
2. We are going to study the following algorithm:

---

#### Algorithm 1 Find-Min

---

```

 $i \leftarrow U([N]).$ 
while 1 do
  Find  $j$  such that  $x_j < x_i$  with UNK-GROVER.
  If it is impossible, return  $i$ .
  Else,  $i \leftarrow j$ .
end while

```

---

- (a) How many calls to  $U_x$  makes algorithm 1 in the worst case?
- (b) Show that if  $x_j$  is the element of rank  $r$ , the probability that  $j$  is picked from the algorithm at some point is  $1/r$ . *Hint: induction on  $N$ .*
- (c) Compute an upper bound on the expected number of queries to  $U_x$  made by algorithm 1.
- (d) Conclude by proposing a quantum algorithm doing  $O(\sqrt{N})$  calls to  $U_x$  that find a minimum in the  $x_i$  with probability  $\geq 2/3$ . *Hint: Markov.*

### 2 QMA, quantum generalization of NP

We consider the following complexity class: we say that a promise problem  $L = (L_{\text{YES}}, L_{\text{NO}})$  is in the class **QMA** if there exist a polynomial-time classical algorithm  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(x)$  is a quantum circuit realizing  $U_x$ , such that it satisfies the two following properties:

- **Completeness:**  $x \in L_{\text{YES}} \Rightarrow \exists |\psi\rangle$  such that measuring the first qubit of  $U_x|\psi\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$  gives 1 with probability  $\geq \frac{2}{3}$
- **Soundness:**  $x \in L_{\text{NO}} \Rightarrow \forall |\psi\rangle$ , measuring the first qubit of  $U_x|\psi\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$  gives 1 with probability  $\leq \frac{1}{3}$

1. Show that **NP**  $\subseteq$  **QMA**.
2. Show that **BQP**  $\subseteq$  **QMA**.

3. Call  $\mathbf{QMA}[c(n), s(n)]$  the variant of  $\mathbf{QMA}$  where the completeness error  $\frac{2}{3}$  is replaced by  $c(n)$  and the soundness error  $\frac{1}{3}$  is replaced by  $s(n)$ . Can you prove that  $\mathbf{QMA} = \mathbf{QMA}[c(n), s(n)]$  with  $c(n) - s(n) \geq \frac{1}{p(n)}$  for a positive polynomial  $p$ ? We will nonetheless assume this result for this exercise.
4. Recall that the  $k$ -LOCAL HAMILTONIAN problem takes as input the description of  $H = \sum_{j=1}^r H_j[S_j]$  acting on  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ , with  $H_j$   $k$ -local and  $\text{sp}(H_j) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$  (so  $H_j$  is a projector), and parameters  $0 < a < b$  with  $b - a \geq \frac{1}{\text{poly}(n)}$ . The goal is to output 1 if  $\lambda_{\min}(H) \leq a$  and 0 if  $\lambda_{\min}(H) \geq b$ . We want to show that  $k$ -LOCAL HAMILTONIAN is in  $\mathbf{QMA}$ . We consider the following quantum algorithm:
- Sample  $j$  uniformly in  $\{1, \dots, r\}$
  - We can decompose  $H_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} |b_i^j\rangle\langle b_i^j|$ , with  $(|b_i^j\rangle)_{i \in [n]}$  basis of  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ . Apply the change of basis  $V_j$  such that  $V_j^\dagger = (|b_i^j\rangle)_{i \in [n]}$ , measure in the standard basis. If the output  $i \notin [n_j]$ , then output 1; else output 0.
- (a) Justify why we can construct such a quantum algorithm with a polynomial-size quantum circuit using ancillas and measuring only its first output.
- (b) On input  $|\eta\rangle$ , first compute the probability that given  $j$ , you get 1. Then prove that the global probability of getting 1 is  $1 - \frac{\langle \eta | H | \eta \rangle}{r}$ .
- (c) Find a lower bound on the probability of outputting 1 in the completeness part of  $\mathbf{QMA}$  for the  $k$ -LOCAL HAMILTONIAN using the certificate  $|\eta\rangle$ , where  $|\eta\rangle$  is an eigenvector of  $H$  for eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\min}(H)$ , under the hypothesis that  $\lambda_{\min}(H) \leq a$ .
- (d) Find an upper bound on the probability of outputting 1 in the soundness part of  $\mathbf{QMA}$  for the  $k$ -LOCAL HAMILTONIAN under the hypothesis that  $\lambda_{\min}(H) \geq b$ .
- (e) Conclude.

*Remark.* The  $k$ -LOCAL HAMILTONIAN is in fact  $\mathbf{QMA}$ -complete for  $k \geq 2$ . □

### 3 Matrix Exponentials

1. Compute  $\exp(iX)$ ,  $\exp(iZ)$ ,  $\exp(iX) \cdot \exp(iZ)$ ,  $\exp(i(X + Z))$ .
2. **Tail-cut of the matrix exponential.** Assume that  $A$  is a matrix of norm  $\leq 1$ . Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 0.99$  and  $t > 0$ . Show that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $A, \varepsilon$  and  $t$  such that:

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{c \cdot (t + \log(1/\varepsilon))} \frac{(itA)^k}{k!} - \exp(itA) \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

You can use freely that  $k! \leq \left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^k$ .

3. What tail-cut bound do you have to take if  $\|A\| \leq 1$  is not supposed anymore?