
TD 1: Play with definitions

Exercise 1.

Statistical distance

Definition 1 (Statistical distance). Let X and Y be two discrete random variables over a countable set A . The statistical distance between X and Y is the quantity

$$\Delta(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in A} |\Pr[X = a] - \Pr[Y = a]|.$$

The statistical distance verifies usual properties of distance function, i.e., it is a positive definite binary symmetric function that satisfies the triangle inequality:

- $\Delta(X, Y) \geq 0$, with equality if and only if X and Y are identically distributed,
- $\Delta(X, Y) = \Delta(Y, X)$,
- $\Delta(X, Z) \leq \Delta(X, Y) + \Delta(Y, Z)$.

1. Show that if $\Delta(X, Y) = 0$, then for any deterministic adversary \mathcal{A} , we have $\text{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) = 0$.

In the next question, we will prove the *data processing inequality* for the statistical distance.

2. Let X, Y be two random variables over a common set A .

- (a) Let $f : A \rightarrow S$ be a deterministic function with domain S . Show that

$$\Delta(f(X), f(Y)) \leq \Delta(X, Y).$$

- (b) Let Z be another random variable with domain \mathcal{Z} , statistically independent from X and Y . Show that

$$\Delta((X, Z), (Y, Z)) = \Delta(X, Y).$$

- (c) Let f be a (possibly probabilistic) function with domain S . Define f' a deterministic function and R a random variable independent from X and Y such that for any input x , we have $f'(x, R) = f(x)$. The random variable R is the internal randomness of f . Using f' and R , show that $\Delta(f(X), f(Y)) = \Delta(f'(X, R), f'(Y, R)) \leq \Delta(X, Y)$.

3. Show that for any (possibly probabilistic) adversary \mathcal{A} , we have $\text{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \leq \Delta(X, Y)$.
4. Assuming the existence of a secure PRG $G : \{0, 1\}^s \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$, show that $\Delta(G(U(\{0, 1\}^s)), U(\{0, 1\}^n))$ can be much larger than $\max_{\mathcal{A}} \text{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}(G(U(\{0, 1\}^s)), U(\{0, 1\}^n))$.

Exercise 2.

A weird distinguisher...

We consider two distributions D_0 and D_1 over $\{0, 1\}^n$.

1. Recall the definitions that were given in class for the notions of *distinguisher*, *advantage* and *indistinguishability* of D_0 and D_1 .

You found a distinguisher \mathcal{A} on internet. However, you cannot find anywhere in the documentation its performances!

- Assuming that you have access to as many samples as you like from D_0 and D_1 (you can for instance assume that you can sample yourself from these distributions), how would you estimate the advantage of \mathcal{A} ? *Hint: use the Chernoff Bound: $\Pr(|X - np| \geq nt) \leq 2 \exp(-2nt^2)$, where X follows a binomial distribution with parameters (n, p) .*

By convention, you want to design a distinguisher such that it outputs 1 when it thinks the sample comes from D_1 and 0 otherwise. However, because of the definition of advantage, it is also possible to design distinguishers that do the reverse, and still have the same advantage. For instance, the above distinguisher \mathcal{A} may often be “wrong”. This could be troublesome if your aim is to use its output to do further computations. Luckily, there exists a way to transform \mathcal{A} into a distinguisher that is more often right than wrong, whatever it previously did.

- The definition of advantage from question 1 may be called Absolute Advantage, for the purpose of this exercise. In this question, we define the Positive Advantage of \mathcal{A} as

$$\text{Adv}_P(\mathcal{A}) := \Pr(\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{Exp}_1} 1) - \Pr(\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{Exp}_0} 1).$$

Given a distinguisher \mathcal{A} with Absolute Advantage ε , we build a distinguisher \mathcal{A}' that does the following:

- Upon receiving a sample $y \leftarrow D_b$, it runs $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(y)$.
- It samples $x_0 \leftarrow D_0$ and $x_1 \leftarrow D_1$ and runs $b_0 \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(x_0)$ and $b_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(x_1)$.
- It returns b' if $b_0 = 0$ and $b_1 = 1$. It returns $1 - b'$ if $b_0 = 1$ and $b_1 = 0$.
- In any other cases, it returns a uniform bit.

Prove that the Positive Advantage of \mathcal{A}' is ε^2 .

Exercise 3.

Bit-flip of a PRG

Let G a pseudo-random generator (PRG) of input range $\{0, 1\}^s$ and output range $\{0, 1\}^n$. We define \bar{G} as follows:

$$\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^s, \bar{G}(x) := 1^n \oplus G(x),$$

where \oplus denotes the XOR operation. This corresponds to flipping every bit of the output of G .

- Prove that \bar{G} is secure if and only if G is secure.

Exercise 4.

(Bonus) Variable-length OTP is not secure

A variable length one-time pad is a cipher (E, D) , where the keys are bit strings of some fixed length L , while messages and ciphertexts are variable length bit strings, of length at most L . Thus, the cipher (E, D) is defined over $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$, where

$$\mathcal{K} := \{0, 1\}^L \text{ and } \mathcal{M} := \mathcal{C} = \{0, 1\}^{\leq L}$$

for some parameter L . Here, $\{0, 1\}^{\leq L}$ denotes the set of all bit strings of length at most L (including the empty string). For a key $k \in \{0, 1\}^L$ and a message $m \in \{0, 1\}^{\leq L}$ of length ℓ , the encryption function is defined as follows:

$$E(k, m) := k[0 \dots \ell - 1] \oplus m$$

- Provide a counter-example showing that the variable length OTP is not secure for perfect secrecy.